

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Site 300 Explosive Test Facility

Prescribed Fire Plan

January 2001

Prepared By:
Ralph W. Burklin
Assistant Fire Chief
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Approved By:
John A. Sharry
Fire Chief
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Concurred By:
Larry Sedlacek
Site 300 Manager
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Site 300

Table of Contents

<u>Prescribed Fire Plan Goals and Objectives</u>	3
<u>Description of Site 300 Burn Areas</u>	3
<u>Figure 2</u>	5
<u>Meteorology</u>	5
<u>Land Use</u>	6
<u>Environmental Considerations</u>	6
<u>Biota and Endangered Species</u>	7
<u>Air Quality</u>	8
<u>Environmental Impact of Prescribed Burns</u>	9
<u>National Environmental Policy Act</u>	9
<u>Biota and Endangered Species</u>	9
<u>Air Quality and Best Available Control Measures</u>	11
<u>Scheduling</u>	11
<u>Media Coordination and Public Notification</u>	12
<u>Fire Management Strategies</u>	12
<u>Burning Prescription and Meteorological Conditions</u>	14
<u>Weather Prescription</u>	14
<u>Fuel Type and Amount</u>	14
<u>Ignition Operations</u>	14
<u>Smoke Management</u>	14
<u>Smoke Intrusion Contingency Plan</u>	15
<u>Personnel and Equipment Prescription</u>	15
<u>Monitoring and Evaluation Procedures</u>	15
<u>Public and Personal Safety</u>	16
<u>Escaped Fire Contingency Plan</u>	16
<u>Briefing Guide and Go/No-Go Checklist</u>	17
<u>Contact Information</u>	17
<u>References</u>	18

PRESCRIBED FIRE PLAN GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

- Provide for the safety of employees, visitors, and neighbors during all phases of the wildland fire management process.
- Use prescribed fire and mechanically maintain and/or treat the Site's developed areas to reduce the threat of unwanted fire.
- Minimize the occurrences of fires that could leave the Site 300 boundaries and impact our neighbors.
- Provide a fire-safe barrier to prevent wildfires from entering Site 300.
- Preserve and extend the capability to safely test explosives while protecting the environment.
- Minimize the occurrence of unnaturally intense fires by reducing the amount of vegetation that can fuel larger, more catastrophic fires.
- Limit the extent of prescribed fires, which would reduce the air quality for our neighbors.
- Provide opportunities for public understanding of fire ecology principles, smoke management, and prescribed fire program objectives.
- Use minimum impact prescribed burns and fire suppression techniques, and rehabilitate disturbed areas to protect natural and cultural resources from adverse impacts attributable to fire suppression activities.
- Conduct all fire management activities commensurate with applicable laws, policies and regulations.
- Cooperate extensively with adjacent landowners to facilitate safe and prompt suppression of wildfires.
- Suppress all wildfires to assure minimal impacts on the environment and cultural resources.
- Engender understanding among fire fighters about the impacts of fire suppression on sensitive resources.

DESCRIPTION OF SITE 300 BURN AREAS

Site 300 is located in the California Coast Ranges, which is characterized by low rugged mountains and relatively narrow intervening valleys. It is situated 15 miles east of the Livermore Valley near the eastern edge of the Altamont Hills, close to the western boundary of San Joaquin County (Figure 1). Elevations range from 500 feet at the southern boundary to 1800 feet at the higher peaks in the northwestern areas. Site 300 covers approximately 7000 acres (about 11 square

miles) of land in eastern Alameda County and western San Joaquin County. Site 300 was acquired in 1953; since then all grazing and other agricultural activities have been terminated.




Figure 1

The 1,500-acre control burn area is divided into 22 plots ranging from six (6) to two hundred and forty (240) acres (Figure 2). The fuel load within the control burn plots ranges between one to four tons per acre, depending on the grass height and distribution. Existing service roads, fire trails, and other barriers separate the plots. A combination of existing fire roads, temporary control lines, wet line firing methods, and other existing barriers isolate the plot boundaries.

Annual Prescribed Burn, Site 300




Figure 2

Meteorology

Site 300's climate is characterized by mild, rainy winters and warm, dry summers. Sunshine is abundant throughout the year. It is officially classified as "Mediterranean Scrub Woodland" climate. The mean annual temperature for the 30-year period, 1951 through 1980, was 14.5°C (58.1°F) with extremes ranging from -8°C (18°F) to 45°C (113°F). Almost all rainfall occurs between October and April. The average annual rainfall for the 38-year period (1959-1996) at LLNL Site 300 was 264 mm (10.4 in.).

LLNL's web-based weather pages provide access to local meteorological information and links to other weather-related sites. The Site 300 meteorological

tower is located on a ridge near the center of the site. The base of the tower is about 1200 feet above MSL (mean sea level).

Land Use

The principal land use at Site 300 is research and development. The land use categories are determined by the predominant activity within an area. The majority of the area at Site 300 is undeveloped or buffers a test area and is currently available for development for experimentation and testing purposes. Facilities and land used for experimentation and testing are grouped together to form Activity Areas. These include: the General Service Area (GSA), the manufacturing area (includes chemistry and process area), weapons test area, and firing areas (east and west).

Many of the current uses represent facilities that have been physically separated because of the explosives safety stand off distances (arcs) required by DOE. Within these safety arcs, many experiments have continued with only minor modifications while intermittent explosive testing was conducted. This dual use of the area makes Site 300 both efficient and effective by accommodating multiple researchers in a location close to the Livermore Site.

Site 300 has diverse wildlife habitats that provide for many sensitive species (Biota and Endangered Species). Site 300 is home to the federally listed endangered flower species, *Amsinckia grandiflora* (the large-flowered fiddleneck). The *Amsinckia grandiflora* Reserve was designated per a memorandum of agreement between DOE and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and consists of 160 acres of Site 300, which is not included in the annual routine prescribed burn. However, the 1997 *A. grandiflora* Recovery Plan (USFWS 1997) calls for research into the use of controlled burns as a tool for *A. grandiflora* recovery. These are small research burns conducted as part of the coordinated effort between DOE, the University of California, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to enhance *Amsinckia* recovery. The next research burn is planned for spring of 2001. A proposal to conduct a 50-75 acre prescribed burn in soft chaparral (coastal sagescrub) at Site 300 is currently being explored with the Service. This burn would benefit the federally Threatened Alameda whipsnake (*Masticophis lateralis euryxanthus*) onsite as part of the federal Recovery Plan.

A Wetlands Management Program is being proposed between LLNL and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to establish formal on-site drainage maintenance in habitats suitable or currently occupied by the California red-legged frog and the California tiger salamander. One non-native species, the feral swine, has had a destructive impact on the sensitive habitats, native species, and wetland species at Site 300. In 1998, a control program was implemented for feral swine that emigrate onto the site in the fall and spring time periods.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

LLNL environmental policy requires that all Laboratory operations be conducted in a manner that preserves the quality of the environment and complies with the

letter and spirit of all applicable governmental regulations and orders. A brief overview of the environmental considerations relating to existing land use are presented under the following topics.

Site 300 hosts a diverse ecology of upland flora and fauna species. From its southern boundary within the Corral Hollow Creek floodplain, the property rises dramatically in a series of southeast-northwest trending ridges to nearly the northern perimeter. Several ephemeral streams flow through Site 300 during the wet winter months and discharge into Corral Hollow Creek at the southern boundary of the site. Most flow is direct run-off with a very small contribution from both intermittent and perennial springs.

Biota and Endangered Species

Site 300 has diverse wildlife and habitats. Less than 5% of Site 300's 7000-acre property-area is developed. Developed areas with buildings are generally excluded from wildland settings with high-security fences, and few of these complexes offer much habitat for native flora and fauna (Figure 1).

The primary vegetation types found at Site 300 are introduced grassland, native grassland, coastal sage scrub, and oak woodlands. All of these habitats are believed to have evolved with fire (Menke 1992, Huenneke and Mooney 1989, Barbour and Major 1990). The introduced grassland community covers about 5,647 acres (2,288 hectares) with variously proportioned mixtures of introduced annual grasses; the most important species are slender wild oat, ripgut brome, soft cress, and red brome.

The native perennial grassland community is dominated by cismontane grass and covers about 723 acres (293 hectares). Stands of native grasslands are confined mainly to the northern half of the facility. Occurrence of native grass-dominated vegetation appears to be associated with annual controlled burning. There is concern that the reduction in acreage burned at Site 300 in recent years may result in a contraction of the native grassland community at the site.

The third major vegetation community, coastal sage scrub, occurs in the southwestern part of the site and covers approximately 108 acres (44 hectares). It contains a mixture of four chaparral shrubs: California sagebrush, California buckwheat, black sage, and matchweed. The oak woodland community is restricted to the north-facing slopes in the southern canyons and covers approximately 150 acres (61 hectares). This community includes a sparse cover of blue oak with an understory predominantly of three grasses: slender wild oat, soft cress, and ripgut brome. Also included is one native grass, *Elymus triticoides* that is important in this community. Other less common vegetation types are wetlands associated with seeps and springs, seasonal pools, northern riparian woodland habitat, and four localized upland plant communities.

Four rare floral species occur at Site 300. The federally listed endangered large-flowered fiddleneck (*Amsinckia grandiflora*) occurs within the *Amsinckia grandiflora* reserve. The diamond-petaled poppy (*Eschscholzia rhombipetala*, candidate) and big tar plant (*Blepharizonia plumosa ssp plumosa*, CNPS list 1B)

have been found to occur at Site 300. A small population of the diamond-petaled poppy (from 30 to 300 plants) was discovered in the very southwest corner of the site, near Draney Canyon. Annual monitoring of this species is ongoing. The big tar plant is quite widespread throughout Site 300. Annual mapping of this species suggests the larger populations to be associated with the annual controlled burn. The gypsum-loving larkspur (*Delphinium gypsophilum* ssp *Gypsophilum*, CNPS List 4) occurs in several localized areas at Site 300.

The rare wetland habitats consist of northern riparian woodland, seasonal pools, and herbaceous wetlands. The Federally threatened California red-legged frog occurs at Site 300 in association with some of the wetlands.

The valley blue elderberry bush is present at eight locations at Site 300, and is known to be the host plant to the federally threatened valley elderberry long horn beetle (*Desmocerus californicus dimorphus*). However, none of these beetles have yet been found at Site 300. The Alameda whipsnake (*Masticophis lateralis euryxanthus*) are highly associated with coastal sage scrub habitat, although no Alameda whipsnakes with the full suite of taxonomic characteristics have been sighted at Site 300.

Fauna observed at Site 300 include a minimum of 20 species of reptiles and amphibians, 70 species of birds, and 25 species of mammals. Mammal species include mice, cottontails, squirrels, skunks, foxes, and black-tailed deer. Burrowing owls, red-tailed hawks, and bald eagles have been observed at Site 300. One Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*, threatened) and two peregrine falcons (*Falco mexicanus*, endangered) have been observed.

Air Quality

The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPD) is currently listed as a severe non-attainment to ambient air quality standards for particulate emissions and ozone. The major air pollutant of concern from the fire is smoke. Other pollutants such as nitrogen oxides, volatile organic compounds and carbon monoxide are also produced from fire. The SJVUAPCD is concerned that prescribed burning is contributing to the non-attainment status for particulates. Smoke is made of a complex mixture of carbon, tars and liquids, and different gases. These smoke particles range in various sizes from less than 2.5 micrometers to 10 micrometers. The proportion for which size particle is generated is often determined by the rate of energy release of the fire. High intensity fires tend to be bimodal with peaks near 0.3 micrometers to exceeding 10 micrometers. The smaller the smoke particle size, the greater the opportunity for health effects as the particle is breathed further into the lungs. Emission of smaller smoke particles occurs as a result of frequent and periodic burns.

Site 300 annual prescribed or managed burn is scheduled to occur when the grass is dry enough to sustain a burn, usually in the May-June timeframe. Since the amount of fuel consumed depends on the moisture content of the fuel, the timing of the burn also minimizes the amount of smoke released from the burn. These burns occur only during daylight hours and under authority of the SJVUAPCD.

Environmental Impact of Prescribed Burns

Site 300 has been conducting prescribed burns throughout its history for wildfire control. According to the LLNL Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR), "LLNL Site 300 began a burning program in the northeastern half of the site in the 1950s and has continued the program annually since 1960. All areas of the site have been burned at least once since 1960. The northern half of the site is burned annually, whereas the southern half has been burned only four times since 1960." The southern half is more devoted to site operations.

National Environmental Policy Act

DOE's foundational vehicle for compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) at LLNL and Site 300 is the 1992 site-wide EIS/EIR. This joint NEPA compliance (EIS) and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) compliance (EIR) document was prepared to analyze the impacts of the proposed action of continued operation of LLNL and Site 300.

Prescribed burning is discussed throughout this document appropriate to the context, including safety, environmental impacts and wildfire prevention, Site 300 testing requirements, and natural resource impact. NEPA reviews since 1992 occur through annual pre-burn meetings attended by LLNL's Environmental Evaluations Group (responsible for NEPA compliance) and preparation of formal evaluations as to the adequacy of the 1992 EIS/EIR document to any given year's burn as appropriate.

Biota and Endangered Species

Prescribed burning at Site 300 has had a positive effect on vegetation communities. The EIS/EIR states the following regarding the environmental evaluation of burning done in the context of the Site-wide NEPA review:

"Fire limits the development of coastal sage scrub vegetation on LLNL Site 300 to rocky sites and also influences the composition and distribution of native grasslands. Restriction of coastal sage scrub to rocky sites is associated with reduced dry grass fuel levels and increased patchiness of all fuels. Although vegetation in rocky areas is subject to local fires, the rocks offer some protection and the vegetation may not be burned in every fire. Shrubs that would otherwise be eliminated then increase in importance. Native grassland communities on LLNL Site 300 occur almost exclusively in areas with annual controlled burning.

Remarkable little quantitative ecological literature exists on the role of fire in establishing and restoring native grassland communities in California (Heady 1977); however, both Barry (1972) and Heady (1972) indicate that frequent fire is required to establish and maintain grasslands dominated by native grasses in lowland California. This conclusion is borne out by grassland vegetation found at LLNL Site 300. Not all plant communities within the perimeter of annual controlled fire on Site 300 are native grass-dominated, but the lack of introduced

grasses on some habitats strongly correlates with the pattern and frequency of fires (Taylor and Davilla, 1986a).

A comprehensive inventory of native grasslands has not been conducted for California. Notably, Barry (1972) did not mention the presence of native grasslands in the vicinity of LLNL Site 300. An estimated 723 acres of native grassland communities occur on LLNL Site 300. Using the evaluation criteria established by Barry (1972), LLNL Site 300 could be judged one of the largest native grasslands of this kind currently known in California.”

As previously discussed, the 1997 *Amsinckia grandiflora* Recovery Plan (USFWS 1997) calls for research into the use of fire to establish and maintain a more favorable habitat for *A. grandiflora*. Previous research at Site 300 on *A. grandiflora* has shown the native bunch grass habitat to provide a more favorable matrix for *A. grandiflora* (Carlsen et al. 2000). In addition, ongoing work at Site 300 on *B. plumosa plumosa* suggests that a complex interaction with fire is required to maintain the metapopulation structure of this species (Carlsen et al 2001).

Fire has been one of the primary forces that created and maintained biodiversity and specialized wildlife habitats throughout Central California. Site 300 ecological communities have evolved in association with fires, and in fact, require fire for proper growth and health. Just as many native plants exhibit adaptations that enable them to recover vigorously after a burn, endemic animal life history patterns also display strategies for taking advantage of and surviving periods of fire successfully.

Historic patterns of local landscape fires created a mosaic of burned and unburned terrain. Fires in the Altamont Pass were frequent and driven by strong maritime winds originating from the San Francisco Bay. Heavy fuel accumulation in these predominately grassland ecosystems was unusual and rare.

Most wildlife species at Site 300 benefit from the presence of fire. The resulting environmental conditions bolster habitat diversity, the nutritive quality and availability of food supplies, and the yield of forage. Endemic wildlife exhibits specialized and adaptive lifestyles to survive the element of fire on the property. Many species cope with the adverse environmental conditions by retreating into their subterranean dens. Primary excavators (i.e., coyote, American badgers, ground squirrels) or those species that inhabit the burrows of other excavators (i.e., California tiger salamanders, San Joaquin whipsnakes, burrowing owls) escape the impacts of fire by sheltering themselves underground. Similarly, abundant bird-of-prey populations rely upon the availability of food sources (small mammals) that are not present in old-growth habitats with a heavy overstory of vegetation growth.

It is a misconception that many animals are killed by fire. Wildlife usually escapes by fleeing the area, hiding underground, or moving to adjacent unburned areas until the fire has passed. Post-burn surveys at Site 300, usually conducted in late May, have indicated no mortality of any special-status species to date. Both

above- and below-ground surveys have been performed annually during the past eight years immediately following the impacts of the prescribed burn. Landscape alteration appears to be the net primary effect of these burns, not wildlife mortality.

Air Quality and Best Available Control Measures

Prescribed fire conducted at Site 300 is considered a long-term asset to air quality as it reduces the potential for destructive wildfires. In addition, the fires remove potential airborne residues that accumulate such as pollen and other respirable matter.

Fire management practices conducted at Site 300 minimize the impact of smoke on air quality through the following Best Available Control Measures:

- Fires are ignited by SJVUAPCD-approved methods.
- Fires are only conducted in daylight hours. Smoke is visually monitored.
- Fires are not allowed to smolder after the area has been burned.
- The vegetation is determined to be dry enough to sustain a complete burn.
- The preferred timing for burning is based upon a determination that the vegetation is dry enough to sustain a complete burn but preferably before declaration of the fire season. Declaration of fire season imposes added restrictions to site activities and could extend the burn into July and may cause additional fire danger due to increased temperature and reduced fuel moisture content.

SCHEDULING

Proposed Ignition Dates: May 20 - July 1, 2001.

In an effort to minimize daily and cumulative air quality impact to the regional area, each burn will be scheduled in coordination with the SJVUAPD. It is our goal to start and complete the annual control burn before the California Department of Forestry declares the "Fire Season" open. The project area is divided into plots to facilitate fire management and control. Under favorable conditions it is estimated that the entire project could be completed in 6 full days. However, due to weather conditions, burn day approval, acreage allocations, spare the air days, resource availability, staffing, and DOE approval, the project usually takes longer and may be completed by burning over a series of days that may or may not be consecutive. Plots that are not burned during the same day will be treated as separate burns through the regulatory notification process.

All prescribed burns will be conducted only on approved burn days.

MEDIA COORDINATION AND PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

The Environmental Protection Department at LLNL notifies neighbors and nearby residents of Site 300 of the intent to perform the annual burn project in advance of burn activities. This notification is conducted by mail and web using current contact information. LLNL points of contact are provided along with the Environmental Protection Department's Environmental Community Relations representative so individual questions/concerns can be addressed, e.g., specific timing for individual burn areas. In addition, a news release is prepared and distributed to local media, e.g., Tracy Press, Stockton Record, and Tri-Valley Herald, prior to the burn. The contact information telephone numbers allow for receiving and addressing complaints after the burn. However, there have been few smoke-related complaints received during our recent burns.

The LLNL fire dispatcher will notify the following agencies/personnel on the morning of the burn:

- National Nuclear Security Agency, Oakland Office – (925-422-2567);
- SJVUAPD – (209) 557-6442 or 800-422-2723;
- California Department of Forestry, Emergency Communications Center – (408) 779-2121 (Ask the dispatcher to notify Castle Rock and Sunol stations);
- Alameda County Fire Department Station 8 – (925) 447-6611;
- Site 300 /LLNL CAS operators – 3-5222 and 2-7222;
- Stanford Research Institute – (925) 373-0185;
- San Joaquin County Fire Dispatch – (209) 464-4648 (Ask the dispatcher to notify Tracy Fire Department); and
- Site 300 Business Manager – 3-5217.

Twin Valley fire departments, which include Livermore-Pleasanton, Alameda County, Camp Parks, and San Ramon Valley fire departments are notified using the mutual aid radio frequencies.

FIRE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Prescribed burning is used as a measure to prevent fires from entering or leaving Site 300. The prescribed burn provides a firebreak, which a wildfire cannot breach. Our prescribed burning, before the fire season, minimizes the size, intensity, destructiveness and cost of wildfires. With the exception of the year 2000, all prescribed burns have been conducted before the declaration of fire season. Burning during this time of the year allows the fire department to control all fires with the resources at hand. The risk of a prescribed burn jumping control lines and leaving Site 300 is minimal due to several factors.

1. Fuel moisture content is higher during the period of time preceding the fire season. Fires involving fuels with high fuel moisture content are easier to control than fires involving fuels with low fuel moisture content. During the fire season, we experience fast moving fires due to low fuel moisture content, high winds, and increased ambient temperatures.
2. Winds are usually out of the west. Fire activity will burn in an easterly direction to the fire trails, fire breaks on the east boundary. Once the prescribed burns at the boundaries are completed, wildfires have never left Site 300.

Although not a credible event, a prescribed fire leaving Site 300 and entering a neighbors grazing land would be extinguished with the resources described herein. Lands that border Site 300 on the west, east and north are used for cattle grazing. These grasses are very similar to those found at Site 300 with the exception of the grass height. Grasses that have been grazed are usually less than three inches tall. In order for a wildfire to reach a populated area, a fire would have to burn unchecked for a distance of approximately nine miles, through the grazed grass, jump highway 580, jump two aqueducts, and burn through fields of irrigated crops before reaching the City of Tracy.

A full range of alternatives to burning have been considered. All of which modify approved land use, destroy wildlife habitat, create erosion or are unsafe. Those alternatives include:

- Grazing;
- Sterilization
- Planting fire resistive non-native vegetation;
- Disking; and
- Mowing.

The primary fire suppression responsibility for the area lies with the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Emergency Management Division's Fire Department. Response is provided from both the LLNL fire stations. The fire department is supported by a strong secondary response by all the Alameda County fire departments and the California Division of Forestry. Mutual aid agreements between the LLNL Fire Department and the Alameda County fire departments and the California Department of Forestry have been in place since 1960. A Mutual Threat Zone Agreement is also in place with California Division of Forestry. This agreement provides aircraft, helicopters and air command aircraft in addition to their basic response of eight engines, two bulldozers and a battalion chief.

BURNING PRESCRIPTION AND METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS

Weather Prescription

	Range	Optimum
Temperature	55-90	65
Relative Humidity %	20-75	35
Wind Speed	5-20	15
Wind Direction	All	NW

Fuel Type and Amount

The fuel loading for the project is ungrazed annual grassland estimated to be between 1 and 4 tons per acre.

Vegetation Type	% of Unit	Fuel Model NFFL	Fuel Model NFDRS
Annual Grass	100%	1	A

Ignition Operations

At the beginning of each burn day, a small test ignition at the burn site will be conducted with a drip torch to observe ignition combustion rates and smoke behavior. Fire behavior and smoke conditions will be visually monitored to achieve compliance with the conditions set in the burn plan. All conditions, including the burn prescription, will be satisfied before the Incident Commander makes a decision to continue burning.

Ignition operations will be conducted using those set forth in the LLNL Fire Department Policies and Procedures, Tactical Plan number 1606. Strip firing, head firing and backing fire ignition patterns will be used to ignite the plot. Firing patterns and directions could change depending on safety, wind direction, other weather parameters, or smoke management concerns.

Duration of project ignition will last approximately thirty minutes to two hours for each plot. Combustion and burn-down time are minimal due to the light flashy fuels.

Smoke Management

Smoke volume from the project should not have a significant impact upon the surrounding communities. Due to the proximity of Corral Hollow Road, the burning of the southern most perimeter trail along Corral Hollow Road is expected to be burned during non-commute hours, between 9 AM and 2 PM. Winds from the north occasionally create decreased visibility hazards along Corral Hollow Road; these can be minimized by partial closures and postings on the road.

Smoke emission and behavior will be continually monitored. Any significant change in smoke emissions and/or column behavior will be reported to the Incident Commander. The Incident Commander will manage the project in a manner that will minimize impact to sensitive areas and the public. The project size, firing tactics and burn duration will be adjusted to meet these goals.

Smoke Intrusion Contingency Plan

This project will be conducted in a manner that will avoid smoke intrusion into any smoke sensitive area. In the event a smoke intrusion does occur in a smoke sensitive receptor, the following actions will be taken to reduce smoke production, if appropriate:

- Reduce the size of the burn plot by developing new control lines;
- Suppress active fire;
- Initiate mop-up operations;
- Focus suppression and mop-up operations on areas of greater smoke production;
- LLNL Public Information Officer; and
- Affected populations.

Personnel and Equipment Prescription

All burns will be conducted with personnel and equipment as set forth in the LLNL Fire Department Policies and Procedures Manual, Tactical Plan number 1606.

A total of 10 chief officers, captains and firefighters will be present at all burns.

Staffing

1	Incident Commander	Chief Officer
1	Safety Officer	Chief Officer or Captain
2	Division Officers	Captains or Acting Captains
2	Torch Company Officers	Captains or Acting Captains
2	Torch Persons	Firefighters
2	Observation Personnel	Firefighters

Equipment

1	Command Vehicle
4	Patrols/Engines

Monitoring and Evaluation Procedures

At 0645 hours the day of the burn, the Captain at Fire Station 2 will log onto the LLNL internal web page at www-metdat.llnl.gov/ and select reports by 15-minute readings to obtain the current weather at Site 300. Under the S-300 column, check the following:

- Wind Speed;
- Wind Gust;
- Wind Direction;

- Air Temperature;
- Dew Point;
- Relative Humidity; and
- Under units and where appropriate, select mph and F^o.

If the winds are in excess of 20 mph, the burn process will be terminated for the day.

During the burn, on site monitoring will be conducted and the Incident Commander will observe weather, smoke, and fire behavior.

The day of the burn, the LLNL Fire Department Captain at Fire Station 2, will enter into the incident report for the controlled burn, the following:

- Staffing and positions;
- Duration of burn;
- Plots burned;
- Weather as captured at 0700; and
- Total Acreage burned for the day.

Public and Personal Safety

All burn personnel will wear full standard wildland fire fighting and safety equipment at all times. All standard wildland fire fighter safety rules will be strictly enforced.

The Incident Commander will appoint a safety officer to oversee the entire operation.

Effective communications shall be maintained throughout the incident, especially between the Divisions and Torch Companies.

Escaped Fire Contingency Plan

All ignition operations will stop if spotting or slop-over occur. The Incident Commander will supervise initial attack.

The burn will be declared a wildfire if resources, not assigned to the burn, are requested and/or the resources on scene are unable to contain the escape.

Should the burn become a wildfire, the Incident Commander will make a declaration of escape. The Incident Commander will immediately notify LLNL Fire Department dispatch and request wildland alarms as specified in the Twin Valley Mutual Aid Plan.

All division supervisors will provide and ensure the safety of ALL personnel assigned to them prior to the escape. All personnel will be assigned holding or suppression duties.

Primary and secondary holding lines will be identified on each project map. Water sources (hydrants, drafting areas, etc.) will also be identified on the project map.

Briefing Guide and Go/No-Go Checklist

- ☐ SJVUAPCD has declared it a burn day.
- ☐ Prescribed Burn Information Posters and road signs are installed at designated locations.
- ☐ All required notifications have been made.
- ☐ Current and forecasted weather are favorable.
- ☐ All burn prescriptions are met (temperature; relative humidity %; wind speed; and wind direction).
- ☐ Incident action plan distributed to overhead.
- ☐ All required fire apparatus and equipment is in position and working properly.
- ☐ All personnel required in burn plan are on site and fire ready.
- ☐ All personnel are briefed on burn plan objectives, strategy, and tactics.
- ☐ A safety briefing has been given to all participating personnel.
- ☐ A test fire will be conducted before initiating ignition operations.

Contact Information

Contact	Organization	Office Phone	24-Hour phone
Ralph Burklin	LLNL Emergency Management	925-423-1802	925-422-7595
Robert Moreno	LLNL Emergency Management	925-423-1804	925-422-7595
Bert Hefner	LLNL Environmental Protection Department	925-424-4026	916-744-1095
Jim Lane	Site 300 Deputy Manager	925-423-5217	
Dan Knight	Site 300 Environmental & Special Projects Manager	925-423-7191	925-443-5714

REFERENCES

Barbour, M. G. and J. Major, editors. 1990. Terrestrial vegetation of California. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, California.

Carlsen, T. M. J. W. Menke, and B. M. Pavlik. 2000. Reducing competitive suppression of a rare annual forb by restoring native California perennial grasslands. *Restoration Ecology* 8(1): 18-29.

Carlsen, T., A. Smith and E. Espeland. 2001. Rare Plant Management at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Site 300 Project Progress Report Fiscal Year 2000 October 1999-September 2000. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA. In preparation.

Huenneke, L. F. and H. A. Mooney, ed. 1989. Grassland structure and function: California annual grassland. Tasks for Vegetation Science 20. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Menke, J. 1992. Grazing and fire management for native perennial grass restoration in California grassland. *Fremontia* 20 (2):22-25.

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1997. Large-flowered fiddleneck (*Amsinckia grandiflora*) recovery plan. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, Oregon.